ABSTRACT
This HbA1c Symposium, attended by expert clinicians and scientists from Latin America and Europe, aims to create a regional forum to discuss the current role of HbA1c measurement in diagnosis and management of diabetes in the context of the current burden and state of care for diabetes in four Latin American countries. In view of difficulties arising from a lack of a standardized procedure for HbA1c measurement with the consequent negative impact on a suitable tool to evaluate glycemic control, clinical diabetes experts and representatives of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry decided to work together to search for a long-term pragmatic solution. This shared commitment indicates that this Symposium could be the starting point of a permanent HbA1c forum to find

RESUMEN
Este Simposio sobre la medición de la hemo-globina glucosilada (HbA1c), al que asistieron expertos clínicos y científicos de América Latina y Europa, tiene como objetivo crear un foro regional para analizar el papel actual de la medición de la HbA1c en el diagnóstico y tratamiento de la diabetes en el contexto de la carga, así como la exposición del estado actual de la atención sanitaria a los pacientes diabéticos en cuatro países latinoamericanos. En vista de las dificultades derivadas de la falta de un procedimiento estandarizado para la medición de la HbA1c, con el consiguiente impacto negativo en una herramienta adecuada para evaluar el control glucémico, los expertos clínicos en diabetes y representantes de la Federación Internacional de Química Clínica decidieron trabajar jun-
and monitor the implementation of a suitable standardization HbA1c procedure to effectively benefit health systems, health care providers, supporting organizations, and especially people with diabetes in Latin America. (Rev ALAD. 2018;8:8-21)
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The São Paulo HbA1c Symposium, held on October 21-22, 2016, was attended by an interdisciplinary and intersectional group of expert clinicians and scientists from Latin America and Europe. Its main objective was to create a regional forum to discuss the role of HbA1c measurement in diagnosis and management of diabetes in the context of the current burden and state of care for diabetes in four Latin American countries. Also to take advantage of experience in this endeavor gained in some European countries. The latter included trends, technical and clinical aspects of diabetes care, and healthcare delivery and policy as presented by clinical experts. The former included the use of HbA1c in clinical practice, its quantification, standardization, quality control, and types of interference in its measurement, presented by scientific experts. This paper summarizes data presented in this Symposium. We hope this meeting and its conclusions will be the first step of a permanent cooperative initiative attempting to attain a standardized procedure for HbA1 measurement in the Region of Latin America in order to improve its capacity for the diagnosis and appropriate control of diabetes management.

**DIABETES BURDEN AND CARE IN LATIN AMERICA. GENERAL CONCEPTS**

Diabetes is a group of chronic metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or their combination¹. The chronic hyperglycemia of DM is associated with development of microvascular and macrovascular complications.

The International Diabetes Federation has declared a global diabetes epidemic: in 2015 more than 425 million people had diabetes mellitus (DM)
worldwide, and it is expected to increase to 642 million by 2040. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) accounts for over 90% of cases, type 1 diabetes (T1D) accounts for 5-10%, and the remainder of cases are due to other aetiological causes. This global growth of DM is linked to epidemiological, demographic, and nutritional changes that arise in the context of economic growth, progressive urbanization, and increased life expectancy. These changes in lifestyle are contributing significantly to the rapid rise in obesity (decreased physical activity, increased intake of fat, sugar, and processed foods). Approximately half of the people with T2D are unaware that they have this disease, its prevalence increasing with age and also being associated with low levels of education and income. All together, these changes result in a heavy burden not only on the health care system, the productive system and the overall society. In this sense, the diabetes burden represents the third largest risk factor overall for death and the eighth in relation to loss of disability-adjusted life years, mainly due to serious cardiovascular events. Many studies support this concept and, for example, the INTERHEART study has shown that 12.9% of myocardial infarctions are attributable to diabetes in Latin America. It is therefore urgent to establish a coordinated multi-sectorial, interdisciplinary approach to contain this rapidly growing and serious health problem.

To provide evidence of diabetes impact in Latin America, in this opportunity four countries in this region described their national burden and care.

**Colombia**

The prevalence of T2D in the adult population (over 20 years of age) is between 7 and 9%, but is 5 times lower in the rural population. The resulting 2 million people with T2D represent a challenge for the future, especially regarding the need to identify and treat those who undiagnosed. The CARMELA study reported that a cohort of 1,553 people (average age 47.5 years) living in Bogotá (Colombia) had a prevalence of T2D of 8.1% (7.4% in women and 8.7% in men). In 2005, prevalence of self-reported diabetes diagnosis in adults (18 to 64 years of age) was 5.7%, with higher prevalence in adults between 50 and 64 (11.9%), in males (7.5%), in those with no schooling (6.5%), and in those with low incomes (9.0%) (National Survey on the Nutritional Situation in Colombia-ENSIN). This is quite alarming, considering that no field screening test was performed. There is no reliable information on how rapidly the number of people with diabetes is increasing with the rapid increase in obesity, and we may expect it to increase in parallel with the degree of adiposity. Comparing data from the two ENSIN surveys (2005 and 2010) of people between 18 and 64 years of age, we see that the prevalence of overweight/obesity increased by 5.1%, while that of overweight and obesity separately increased 2.3% and 2.8%, respectively, over a 5-year period.

In Colombia, the national healthcare system has two large entities: the Subsidized and the Contributive system; the former includes low income patients whose healthcare plan is subsidized by the government; whereas the latter includes employees who had a 12.5% monthly deduction of their salaries for healthcare coverage (established by law), called POS based on its acronym in Spanish.

In the case of DM, the POS includes services such as care of patients after the first consultation by an endocrinologist and other specialists (i.e. Ophthalmologists), and treatment with drugs such as metformin (except the extended-release form), glibenclamide, and all kinds of insulin, including analogs,
and diabetes care supplies such as blood glucose meters, 100 test strips for people with T1D, insulin pens, needles or syringes, and also insulin pumps. Laboratory tests such as plasma glucose measurement is included for diagnosis (mandatory in adults over 40), oral glucose tolerance test and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c). The POS does not include 24 hour continuous glucose monitoring, but it may be requested through the Technical-Scientific Committee.

Since T2D is one of the 5 leading causes of death and one of the 10 major causes of medical consultation in the adult population in Colombia, the Colombian Administrative Department of Science, Technology and Innovation (COLCIENCIAS) has requested the Health Technology Assessment Institute and the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, to prepare a clinical practice guideline for diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of T2D in the population over 18 years of age (guideline No. GCP-2015-51). Although this guideline was not published in a scientific journal, it has been available locally since March 2016. Its major initial objective was to identify undiagnosed diabetic people who could benefit from early diagnosis/treatment.

This guideline considers criteria for screening and diagnosis of T2D with cut-off values similar to the ones proposed by the ADA one and in order avoid repetitions we provide the pertinent reference.

The guideline also provides values to identify people at risk of developing diabetes, screened by the FINDRISC questionnaire followed by the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), namely: impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT). In all cases, education regarding the adoption of a healthy lifestyle is recommended, including an emphasis on the importance of good glycemic control to reduce microvascular complications.

The use of HbA1c is suggested as a strategy for diagnosis of T2D in patients with fasting plasma glucose levels between 100 and 125 mg/dl. It could also be used to confirm the diagnosis when the results of fasting plasma glucose are discordant (i.e. when the two blood glucose measurements are discrepant: one is >125 and the other is <125). A HbA1c value ≥ 6.5% confirms diagnosis.

Warnings about HbA1c measurements are included in the guideline:

- The centers that perform HbA1c test must comply with international guidelines, ensuring that the available kits in the country as well as the methods used are certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP).

- If clinical suspicion of T2D is high and the HbA1c value is below 6.5%, an OGTT should be performed to confirm diagnosis or to establish the presence of categories indicating a greater risk of diabetes (prediabetes).

**Brazil**

Has a total population of 206 million inhabitants with a life expectancy of 74.8 years. Diabetes prevalence is 8.68% (equivalent to 11.6 million people with diabetes); with this figure Brazil is the 4th nation in terms of number of people with diabetes in the world, just after China, India and the USA. The average HbA1c value is 9.1% and only 11.6% of people with diabetes achieve recommended glycemic target values.
People in Brazil with T2D dislike insulin therapy, mainly because it represents:

- a rigid schedule,
- inconvenient time and frequency of administration,
- pain and body injuries associated with injections,
- inconvenience in public places,
- fear of injections,
- feelings that disease had progressed,
- weight gain,
- higher frequency of hypoglycemia.

Although, they prefer a more effective, non-injectable treatment with fewer side-effects, they are not willing to pay out-of-pocket for these advantages. They were also particularly concerned about the risk of hypoglycemia, expressed a strong desire to avoid injections, and complained that health providers paid little attention to their problems.

The Brazilian Society of Diabetes developed its own diabetes control and treatment clinical practice guidelines and the Public Health System provides free drugs for hyperglycemia (metformin in all its presentations, glyburide, gliclazide, human insulin-not analogues), as well as for anti-hypertensive medications\(^20\). The private system covers all dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4- inhibitors) and sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2-inhibitors), pioglitazone, human insulin and analogues.

Concerns and warnings about HbA1c measurement in Brazil will be described in other section of this report.

In summary, Brazil is a complex country with large T1D and T2D populations, often poorly controlled despite they have reasonable access to care and treatment.

**Mexico**

Mexico is among the main consumers of sweetened soft drinks and one of the countries with the biggest number of obese individuals. Combined prevalence of obesity and overweight in adults is 71.3\(^%\)\(^21,22\).

Diagnosed diabetes prevalence is 9.2\(^%\), which means that 12 million adults 20 and older have diabetes, which is also the main cause of death in adults 55-74; healthcare expenditures required for their care represents 2.4\(^%\) of the Gross Domestic Product\(^23,24\).

Only 9.6\(^%\) of patients with diabetes are monitored with HbA1c while 25\(^%\) of them attained values ≤ 7\(^%\). In 2008, the Staged Diabetes Management Program «MIDE» implemented at the Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado (ISSSTE) changed the paradigm of diabetes care in Mexico: it was the first institution to use HbA1c as the glycemic monitoring test, setting the tone to revolutionize diabetes care in the country\(^25\). The MIDE Program was launched in 2014 and has contributed to the integration of the National Strategy for Prevention and Control of people with overweight/obesity and diabetes. In MIDE currently 100\(^%\) of patients are monitored with HbA1c, and 62\(^%\) achieved treatment target values.

In 2016, the Official Mexican Standard (NOM 015 SSA in Spanish) for the prevention, diagnosis, detection, treatment, and control of diabetes included HbA1c as a glycemic monitoring method (at least two per year)\(^26\). Currently, HbA1c monitoring is a public policy in Mexico.
Argentina

Diabetes prevalence in Argentina rose from 8.4 to 9.8% in the 2005-2013 period, being higher in the elderly, women with obesity and people with lower incomes and low educational levels27. The number of undiagnosed and untreated people is high and, despite the available evidence that good control of glycemic and associated cardiovascular risk factors can delay the onset/progression of microvascular and macrovascular complications, most people with diabetes do not achieve treatment goals to reach this prevention28. This condition results in decreased quality of life of these people and increased cost of care. For example, diabetic retinopathy is the first cause of non traumatic blindness while diabetic nephropathy is the leading cause of patients in dialysis, accounting for 34.7% of incidental cases and 22.8% of renal failure29,30. However, the leading cause of death in people with diabetes is cardiovascular disease.

Prevalence of diabetes in Argentina, compared to other countries in the region, is higher than in Uruguay and in many Brazilian cities (between 3.6 and 6.9%). The figures in Argentina are similar to those reported in the United States in 2009. A multicenter study published by Dr. Villarrío et al. in 2014 showed considerably increased prevalence of T2D (from 7.9 to 10.8% overall in both genders) and obesity (from 26 to 33%) in the central area of Argentina31.

Not all people with diabetes have yearly control of HbA1c and not all the laboratories in the country use for its measurement methods certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP)33.

Gagliardino et al. have shown that education of healthcare providers and people with diabetes can effectively change this situation, but in order to achieve a real change, it might be necessary to implement these programs at the primary care level and to establish coordinated activity between the different levels of care. To achieve this aim the same group has implemented several programs, such as PROPAT developed by IOMA (in Spanish, Instituto de Obra Médica Asistencial, a social security organization in the Province of Buenos Aires)33, the PRO-DIACOR program in the Province of Corrientes33 and, most recently, DIAPREM in the Municipality of La Matanza, Buenos Aires Province34. In all these programs, education given and organization of care promoted a significant improvement in clinical and metabolic indicators and also reduced care costs. In the third program, it was added systematic record-keeping of clinical, metabolic and therapeutic indicators (QUALIDIAB)35, the set-up of a call center, and yearly scheduled consultation with a cardiologist and an ophthalmologist. These interventions were implemented in 15 primary care centers (CAP, centros de atención primaria) of this municipality, where an education program was implemented for primary care physicians and nurses who see 150 patients with T2D using an organized educated team approach (Physicians and Nurses). Their results were compared to those obtained at another 15 CAPs by physicians and nurses carrying out their care activities in the usual manner. Although that program startup, patients in both groups showed indicators of similarly poor quality of care (low percentage of patients with values for BMI, HbA1c, blood pressure and target lipid profile); these indicators improved significantly after 6 months in the intervention group, and continued to improve after 12 months of follow-up. Conversely, no significant improvement in any of these parameters was recorded in the control group. Also, dropout in the intervention group decreased significantly (from 48 to 28%). These results demonstrate that diabetes education implemented at all levels can improve the quality of care and the quality of life of people with diabetes, and also reduce the cost of their care32,33,36.
ROLE OF HbA1c IN DIABETES MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) is a laboratory tool used to monitor glycemic control in diabetes patients for the last 30 years. It is formed by a spontaneous non-enzymatic reaction in which glucose binds covalently at N-terminal valines of the Hb beta chain (glycation)\(^\text{37}\). The resultant glycated hemoglobin remains in red blood cells for the rest of its life-span (3 months), and therefore its measurement gives a good parameter of glucose exposure to the body during this time-period. This method is now routinely used to assess glycemic control in the large majority of health care settings\(^\text{38}\). All major clinical trials including diabetes control and complications trials (DCCT) in T1D and the UKPDS in T2D have used it as a tool to monitor glycemic control\(^\text{39,40}\). All together, they have demonstrated the benefits of intensive treatment in reference to the development/ progression of micro and macrovascular complications. The last 30 years’ measurements of HbA1c have provided a piece of important evidence: elevated HbA1c in a person without diabetes diagnosis shows a risk factor for cardiovascular disease\(^\text{41}\).

In the United States, where measurement methodology is standardized, HbA1c over 6.5% is a diagnostic value for diabetes\(^\text{42}\). As the occurrence of hypoglycemic events is closely related to lower HbA1c levels, most clinical societies have adopted an individualized target. For younger patients with longer life expectancy, without chronic complications, and using drugs with low risk for hypoglycemia, we should target strict control (lower levels of HbA1c). Conversely, for older patients, with high risk of hypoglycemia, or using insulin, suffering terminal diseases or with chronic kidney complications, the treatment target can be higher. Therefore, our current objective is to obtain good glycemic control and avoid hypoglycemia.

Factors other than diabetes can affect HbA1c levels: diseases that affect blood cell turnover such as hemolytic anemia and bleeding reduce the half-life of red blood cells, thus resulting in falsely low HbA1c values, whereas iron deficiency anemia that increases the half-life of red blood cells may result in falsely high HbA1c values\(^\text{43}\).

On the other hand, depending on the methodology applied, other medical conditions may interfere with HbA1c measurements, such as hypertriglyceridemia, hyperbilirubinemia, uremia, chronic alcoholism, and chronic use of opiates or salicylates. The presence of hemoglobin variants must be considered, particularly when the HbA1c result does not correlate with the patient’s blood glucose levels.

Current routine laboratory methods for determination of HbA1c levels include immunoassay, ion-exchange high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), boronate affinity chromatography, enzymatic method, and capillary electrophoresis\(^\text{44}\). The use of a DCCT traceable method is recommended, certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP)\(^\text{45}\). Of the currently available methodologies, the immunoassay method has been highlighted due to its high accuracy and precision; it is also fully automated and certified by the NGSP\(^\text{46}\).

STANDARDIZATION OF HbA1c MEASUREMENT: A LONG AND WINDING ROAD TO REACH THIS GOAL

When the American Diabetes Association (ADA) began to recommend specific HbA1c target treatment levels in 1994, the lack of comparability of HbA1c results among methods and laboratories made it
difficult for healthcare providers to utilize these targets in clinical practice. Therefore, the NGSP was given in 1996 the task of harmonizing HbA1c results. The NGSP consists of a steering committee to oversee the program, an administrative core, and a network of reference laboratories that assist and certify manufacturers and clinical laboratories to harmonize HbA1c results with those of clinical studies that established the link between HbA1c and outcome risks. The NGSP also maintains traceability to the laboratory network of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) reference system for HbA1c.

Results from the College of American Pathologists whole blood survey are used to assess the effectiveness of the NGSP. The results have shown dramatic improvement in the comparability of HbA1c results since 1994. However, although the NGSP was the groundbreaker for harmonization of HbA1c, further improvement is still needed, especially given recommendations (by ADA and the World Health Organization [WHO]) to use HbA1c for the diagnosis of diabetes.

At that time, the lack of international standardization resulted in the development in several countries of national program which achieved harmonization of test results. However, in 2004, the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) Working Group on HbA1c Standardization, established a reference measurement procedure (RMP) for HbA1c, embracing the concept of methodological traceability.

After the development of the IFCC-RMP, the major organizations associated with diabetes care joined to construct a consensus statement highlighting that all HbA1c test results should be standardized worldwide, and that the new IFCC reference system represents the only valid anchor to implement standardization of the measurement. The consensus statement also highlighted that HbA1c results should be reported in SI units with % (DCCT) values in brackets, derived from the master equation.

More recently, the WHO advocated the use of HbA1c for diagnosis of diabetes, widening the role of testing. Again, the use of results standardized to the international reference values was mandated. HbA1c is widely used, since accurate and precise measurements are essential for patients care. Consistent, high-quality HbA1c test results are imperative for clinicians and patients to make informed decisions about changes in therapy, and the IFCC-RMP is recognized as the anchor to be used to achieve this. Where targets are set on a global level, such as a value of 48 mmol/mol (6.5%) HbA1c for the diagnosis of T2D, it is paramount that true values are provided by routine laboratories. Deviations, due to bias or imprecision, can mean the difference that millions of people are potentially misdiagnosed when viewed on a global scale.

On a more individual level, patients whose glycemic control is monitored by HbA1c want reassurance that any changes in their HbA1c are true, giving them and their clinicians confidence to adjust their therapy appropriately.

Two models of quality targets for HbA1c: Sigma metrics and biological variation

The starting point for quality targets of an analyte in the medical laboratory is a reference method. This was well recognized by the IFCC, and a working group developed a reference method which is now well in place: this method has been officially
approved, implemented in a worldwide network of reference laboratories, and used by manufacturers to target their kit calibrators and by organizers of external quality assessment programs to assign values to samples in their External Quality Assurance (EQA)/Proficiency Testing (PT) program50.

As a result of this analytical effort, from 1993 to 2014 the assay inter-laboratory coefficient of variation (CV) dropped from 22 to 3.5%; this improvement enabled and caused a change in paradigm: the quality is currently so high that, rather than fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c is being increasingly considered the gold standard for diagnosis and screening of diabetes.

This new application requires accurate and precise HbA1c assays. To address this question, the IFCC Task Force on HbA1c investigated two generic models: the Biological Variation and the Sigma Metrics model51. These models may be applied at the levels of: a) the individual laboratory (within one lab, within one method); b) the manufacturer (between laboratories, within one method) and; c) a country (between laboratories, between methods). With the aim of reaching international consensus for advice on quality targets for HbA1c, the Task Force suggests the sigma-metrics model as the model of choice. The default goals in the model are the starting point for discussion with stakeholders in the field of diabetes and a drive towards improved quality.

Application of this model in several European countries and in the US demonstrated the current general status of HbA1c assays and whether they meet the quality targets set by the IFCC Task Force52. The general picture allows comparison of the performance of various analytical principles and commercial versions53. The Region of Latin America needs to attain such a tight control and agreement and hopefully this meeting will accelerate the process to get it.

**Benefits of HbA1c standardization in daily practice**

The NGSP was established in 1996 for the purpose of standardizing HbA1c test results to those of DCCT. The NGSP interacts with manufacturers to help them calibrate their methods and trace values to the DCCT53.

This calibration effort has improved harmonization of results among laboratories and has reduced imprecision. The use of a method certified by NGSP provides results comparable to those recorded in the DCCT study. Clinical laboratories should use HbA1c assays certified by NGSP and also participate in a proficiency testing program for HbA1c.

The NGSP offers three types of certification as follows54:

- Manufacturer method certification.
- Level I Laboratory Certification.
- Level II Laboratory Certification.

The NGSP recommends that manufacturers certify their methods every year. During that period, the manufacturer is responsible for ensuring that the results of their method remain consistent throughout the year and among different lots.

The IFCC established the IFCC Working Group (IFCC WG) on HbA1c standardization in 1995 and adopted a different approach. The IFCC WG developed a mixture of purified HbA1c and HbAo as primary reference material and proposed two candidate reference
methods: electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and capillary electrophoresis. The IFCC method reports HbA1c as mmol/mol (HbA1c/total Hb)\(^5\).

The list of current approved laboratories of the IFCC Network for HbA1c can be found at http://www.ifcchba1c.net/. There is a relation between the results of NGSP standardization (%) and the IFCC network standardization (mmol/mol)\(^5\). For its expression a master equation was developed as follows: NGSP = \([0.09148 \times \text{IFCC}] + 2.152\).

**Interferences in HbA1c measurement by Hb Variants**

Although HbA1c is routinely used to monitor long-term glycemic control and for diagnosing diabetes, the presence of hemoglobin (Hb) genetic variants and Hb modifications may affect the accuracy of some methods.

It has been estimated that approximately 7% of the world population is a heterozygous carrier of some variant\(^5\). Therefore, based on the 2011 worldwide estimation of 366 million people with diabetes, around 26 million would have an Hb disorder, expected to double by 2030\(^5\).

Therefore, a variant Hb fraction may be an incidental finding during HbA1c analysis, and Hb variants may interfere in different HbA1c measuring methods: high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), Boronate Affinity Chromatography and Immunoassays. Consequently, the NGSP advises laboratories to consider the likely prevalence of specific hemoglobinopathies in their population when selecting an HbA1c assay\(^5\).

Data from the Hospital Universitario de Gran Canaria Doctor Negrin (Canary Islands, Spain) on HbA1c results obtained by the HPLC method (ADAMSTM HA-8160 HPLC method [A Menarini Diagnostics, Florence, Italy]) showed that abnormal HPLC chromatograms were obtained for 163/42,371 (0.38%) samples. In 26 samples HbS was identified, and HbA1c results correlated with fasting plasma glucose and with the immunoturbidimetric assay Tina-quant\textsuperscript® HbA1c Gen.3 assays (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) on the Cobas\textsuperscript® 6000 analyzer. In the remaining 137 samples HbD, Hb Louisville, Hb Las Palmas, Hb N-Baltimore or Hb Porto Alegre were identified, and HbA1c by the HPLC method did not correlate with FPG. These samples were retested by the immunoturbidimetric assay and the majority of results were accurate; only three (with the unstable Hb Louisville trait) gave aberrant HbA1c results\(^5\). These data demonstrate that laboratories should be aware of Hb variants occurring locally and choose an appropriate HbA1c testing method.

**Experience on new high-throughput-dedicated HbA1c analyzer**

Vall d’Hebron University Hospital (HUVH) Clinical Laboratories is the largest public clinical laboratory in Spain with a daily activity of 6,000 patient requests, over 16 million tests performed per year and an extensive test catalogue characterized by specialization. In this setting, over 800 HbA1c determinations are performed daily in the laboratory.

From April to July 2015, HUVH Clinical Laboratories participated in the non-interventional, multicenter study to evaluate the reliability and analytical performance of the HbA1c Cobas c513 analyzer. System functionality, user interaction and analytical performance of this new analyzer were evaluated by the Tina-quant\textsuperscript® HbA1c Gen 3 immunoassay (standardized to the approved IFCC reference method). Method comparisons were performed with a
Menarini HA-8180V HPLC (the routine analyzer in the laboratory at that time) and with a dedicated HbA1c analyzer COBAS INTEGRA® 800, using fresh and frozen anonymized residual routine samples.

The repeatability and intermediate precision of HbA1c was 0.3-0.6% and 0.7-1.2%, respectively, using both quality control materials and different sample pools. Recovery rates of 98.3 to 102.4% were obtained with IFCC reference materials. The comparison between Cobas c513 and Menarini HA-8180V was: y = 1.01 (0.99, 1.02), x +0.012 (0.080, 0.093) (n = 150); and the comparison with Cobas INTEGRA® 800 was; y = 1.00 (1.00, 1.01), x -0.15 (-0.13, -0.18) (n = 10,052)\(^{59,60}\).

The evaluation study also demonstrated linearity in the range of 4.8-14.0% and no influence on the results by the common hemoglobin variants HbAS, HbAC, HbAD, HbAE or the presence of HbA2. A later study carried out in this laboratory also concluded that HbF concentrations <10% did not produce a significant interference in HbA1c results in Cobas c513\(^{62}\). NGSP criterion establishes significant difference at >7%.\(^{60}\) HUVH Clinical Laboratories made a transition from three Menarini HA-8180V analyzers (40 samples/h) to a single Cobas c513 analyzer in February 2016 (400 samples/h). The workflow in the laboratory changed dramatically, eliminating the bottleneck caused by the HbA1c HPLC systems, and considerably reducing the time dedicated to analyzer maintenance tasks, sample handling, and technical validation. The analytical performance of the analyzer in this routine setting is also remarkable, with a CV% for HbA1c QC concentrations =5% and =10% of 1.23% and 1.44%, respectively, and TE<2%.

The superior analytical performance of the HPLC systems has been contrasted with the immunoassay systems, and although it is true that the EQC panels support this affirmation, this difference has become smaller over the years, since the results obtained in the validation and routine performance of c513 show that this system fulfills our laboratory quality specifications for HbA1c analysis (CV<1.5%; TE<3%), with the added value of dramatically improving the workflow\(^{61}\).

**Closing remarks**

This interdisciplinary and intersectorial symposium represented simultaneously a great challenge and also an opportunity to identify effective strategies to cope with the ever-increasing burden of diabetes. The clinical experts have clearly described the seriousness and magnitude of the diabetes problem in the Latin American Region. The experts in clinical laboratory have presented evidence that in the Region standardized measurement of HbA1c is far from being a reality, thus, stressing the urgent need to implement an encompassing Latin American standardization programme to guarantee the provision of confident HbA1c results for diagnosis and follow-up of people with diabetes. Different alternatives for reaching this objective were discussed and deeply analyzed, accompanied by examples of how to accomplish it. The discussion following each presentation gave the audience a good opportunity for an active exchange of ideas and opinions on the way the problem was solved in other regions or countries. These could be the basis for the development and implementation of a Regional Standardization programme with an active and effective international cooperation.

Since the President of the Latin American Association of Diabetes (ALAD) attended this meeting, we obtained his commitment to relay these messages and discuss them later with other members of ALAD to involve them in the search for dynamic solutions to achieve general standardization of HbA1c measurement in this Region. Also, IFCC representatives
have promised their support for the development of an education programme devoted to health-care team members on the importance of this issue. Altogether could successfully accomplish such attempt.

In view of this shared compromise, we hope that this meeting will be a starting point for an ongoing HbA1c forum to monitor this initiative, which will result in benefits for health systems, health care providers, supporting organizations and, above all, for people with diabetes.
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